

A LIMITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Tradenark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1459

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/841,622	04/23/2001	Bulent M. Basol	NT-0206	5409	
79	06/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
NuTool, Inc Attn: Legal			TRAN,	TRAN, BINH X	
1655 McCandless Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Milpitas, CA 95035			1765		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/841,622	BASOL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh X Tran	1765	
 The MAILING DATE of this communication of for Reply 	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	ON.		
Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, it if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum strategy per	t. a reply within the statutory minimum of this cried will apply and will expire SIX (5) MOI	rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication	16.
Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n partied patent form activitiment. See 37 CFR 1.704(h)	tatule, cause the application to become A	BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	

2b) This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Fx parts Quavis, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.

Perio

Status

2a\⊠ This action is FINAI

Disposition of Claims

Application Papers

Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

S Patent and Trademark Office PTOI 328 (Rev. 1-04)

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.

4) Claim(s) 32-61 is/are pending in the application.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner

a) All b) Some c) None of:

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94th

Information Disclosure Statement(s) /PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08/

5) Claim(s) 32-36 46-52 and 60 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 37-40 and 43-45 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) 41-42, 53-59, 61 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1 85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Office Action Summary

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other

Paper No/s\/Mai/ Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Part of Paper No /Mail Date 20040519

 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claims 53-59, 61 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 53, "wherein the step of <u>positioning positions a portion</u>" appears to have incorrect grammar.

Claims 54-61 are objected because they directly or indirectly depend on objected claim 53. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the full states before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by applicant for patent, except that an international applicants for patent, except that an international applicant for patent of united States of the patent patent for patent and patent for patent

 Claims 37-40, 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Emesh et al. (US 2002/0108861 A1).

Emesh discloses a method for electrochemically removing a semiconductor wafer comprising the steps of:

flowing a solution (electrolytic solution) through an electrode assembly, which comprises a first electrode member and a second electrode member (i.e. either plurality Application/Control Number: 09/841,622 Art Unit: 1765

of member 50 separated by channel 110, or member 50 is the first electrode and member 100 is the second electrode);

transporting the solution from the electrode member assembly to a mask plate (i.e., polishing pad 40) that interfaces the wafer (60) (page 3-4);

wetting selected parts of the wafers with the electrolytic solution:

applying a current to selected parts of the wafer by using the electrical conductor member (70) (See Fig 4, 6).

Respect to claim 38, Emesh teaches to interface the first and second electrode members with the selected part of the wafer (Fig 4, 6). Respect to claims 39-40, Emesh teaches to direct the solution to the peripheral parts and the center part by using channel 110 (Fig 4-5). Respect to claims 43, Emesh teaches to polish the wafer with the mask plate (40) (i.e. polishing pad). Respect to claims 44-45, Emesh discloses the electrode assembly comprises cathode (negative charge member 50) and/or anode (100) (member 100 is connected to a positive charge member 70, therefore it must be positive charge).

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 32-36, 46-52, 60 are allowed.
- Claims 41-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claims 53-59, 61 are objected because of the minor informalities, but would be allowable if rewritten to correct the minor informalities.

 The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The reasons for allowance have been discussed in previous office action.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the 35 U.S.C 103 rejections are persuasive. Therefore, the examiner withdraws the 35 U.S.C 103 rejections.
 Applicant's arguments filed 3-08-2004 with respect to the 102 rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that member 50 in Emesh is a single platen. According to Applicants, "Emesh et al. do not show or suggest separate electrode members". The examiner disagrees. Emesh clearly discloses a plurality of member 50 separated by channel 110. Therefore, the examiner certainly can interpret that each separate member 50 as an individual electrode. Further, there is no limitation in the claims that requires the first electrode and the second electrode have to perform a different function or have a different structure.

Applicants further argue that "the reference Emesh et al. is incapable of applying current to said selected parts of said wafer as recited in claim 37". The examiner disagrees. Emesh clearly teaches to apply electrical current plurality of contact element (100) via power source (90). Contact element (100) has a direct contact with the wafer surface. Therefore, the examiner maintains that Emesh teaches to apply a current to selected parts of the wafer.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filled within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh X Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Binh X. Tran

NACHE G. NORTON SUPERVISORY PATENT ELAMENER Man